1.TWITTER FILES: Supplemental More Adam Schiff Ban Requests, and "Deamplification"


2.Staff of House Democrat @AdamSchiff wrote to Twitter quite often, asking that tweets be taken down. This important use of taxpayer resources involved an ask about a "Peter Douche" parody photo of Joe Biden. The DNC made the same request:


Nate's Liver - Commentary

@SilERabbit · Follow



Stacia Cardille






April 27, 2020 at 6:24 AM

"humorous intent" and "any reasonable observer" - apparently, not a Schiff staffer could see it was doctored. On Mon, Apr 27, 2020 at 10:13 AM Youl Roth This is a pretty clearly edited GIF created with humorous intent. Any reasonable observer could identify that it's doctored. And there's no nexus to harm to anyone involved. It's not a violation of our rules On Sun, Apr 26, 2020 at 7:10 PM Stacia Cardille <


3. The real issue was Donald Trump retweeted the Biden pic. To its credit Twitter

refused to remove it, with Trust and Safety chief Yoel Roth saying it had obvious



it was made using "Mugitle" (https://www.mugitle.com/) which uses "computer vision technology to analyze and decompose photos into 3D building blocks: camera, lighting, geometry, and surface texture."

Hi Lauren and all, wanted to follow up on this question We're familiar with the manipulated media policy, and I can see some reasons why in context the tweet would not violate it. But stepping back, it is an instance of a candidate using a machine manipulated image (a crude one) to damage his opponent, which is the crux of the concerns that Rep. Schill and many others have had about deepfakes and their abuse We're prepared to accept that it doesn't fall under the policy given, but there's a slippery slope concern here, and I think it bears further explanation from Twitter why that is the case. Because the next iteration of this



tweets about other matters, like the identity of the Ukraine "whistleblower" or the Steele dossier: Tweet Randal Caledonai @RCaledonai 🕆 Pat Read 🕮 💐 💳 Replying to @BobbiefromIL @SenRonJohnson and 9 others @SwiftRead

6.Even when Twitter didn't suspend an account, that didn't mean they didn't act.

Schiff's office repeatedly complained about "QAnon related activity" that were often

staff" is not something that would be able to be done here, or is conceivable by any active policies I know of.



What else could #Senate be doing?

"Rep Schiff Staffer #SeanMisko Worked w/ Ex-

is Linked to #Burisma-Backed Think Tank"

#SenateConfirmationHearings #QuidProJoe

#CrookedHillary #Emailgate #ObamaGate

thegatewaypundit.com/2019/11/schiff...

CIA Leaker #EricCiaramella in the White House &

Nov 2019



I'm assuming Flynn would be their kryptonite and he would clean house.

He probably would, but you don't always

Folgen

The Goddess

Antwort an @miamijj48

@TheGodd69623245

Funny all 5 were involved in the FAKE DOSSIER

PAID FOR BY HILARY CLINTON. Set up by Schiff

while using ERIC CIARMELLA AND SEAN MISKO

BOTH EMPLOYEES to lie. In order to cover up the

real QUID PRO QUO BY BIDEN

3:38 PM · Oct 20, 2020

1 Like

know about the intentions of people you see, like Eric Ciamarella & Sean Misko. 13:04 - 4. Okt. 2020 1 "Gefällt mir"-Angabe 7. Twitter policy at the time didn't ban QAnon, but "deamplified" such accounts. About the batch of tweets that included those above, Twitter execs wrote: "We can internally confirm that a number of the accounts flagged are already included in this deamplification." October 28, 2020 at 2:17 PM Re: Tweets Details Coordinated Harmful Activity Escalations

Hey all, circling back here: We reviewed the flagged accounts and content, and actioned one user for ban evasion, but the remaining were not suspendable

As described in our Coordinated Harmful Activity policy, our current stance toward QAnon on Twitter is not a suspension-based policy. Engaging in QAnonrelated activity on Twitter results in deamplification, meaning that we don't actively recommend the accounts in the product, and we downrank (but not remove)

deamplification. We do ban accounts designated under coordinated harmful activity if they push QAnon from multiple accounts, or if they're evading a ban --

their content when they are engaged in "swarming." We can internally confirm that a number of the accounts flagged are already included in this

8.Schiff's office had a concern about "deamplification," though: it might make it harder for law enforcement to track the offending Tweeters. 9."WE APPRECIATE GREATLY" "We are curious whether any deamplification measures implemented by Twitter's

enforcement team – which we appreciate greatly – could... impede the ability of law


enforcement to search Twitter for potential threats about Misko and other HPSCI

which was the case for one of the flagged users.

under this policy.

staff."

Alias for Reporting Problematic Tweets. Lauren mentioned creating an alias to which we could flag content of concern for potentially guicker review/response. We'd love to take you up on that kind offer. Additional Scrutiny of Accounts of Concern. We would appreciate if you would please consider additional scrutiny of two accounts (below), as social media scans by U.S. Capitol Police indicated a direct correlation between these two accounts tweeting about Misko and subsequent harassment and threats targeting Misko. @GregRubini. This account has added 20,000 followers in the past month and currently has approximately 163,000 followers. According to public reports, it's a well-known and long-established QAnon account (https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/craigsilverman/greg-rubini-twitter-account-palusa-revealed). As detailed in the information that



Twitter had no editorial input. Searches were carried out by third parties, so the documents could be limited.

10.For more, watch @ShellenbergerMD, @bariweiss, @lhfang, @davidzweig, @AlexBerenson, and others.